Survey on SCIP

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Page 1

* Which of the following roles best describes you?

- ○ Company submitting SCIP notifications/Duty holder
- ● Waste operator
- ○ Consumer and general public
- ○ European institution (Commission, agencies)
- ○ EU Member State authority
- ○ Other (please specify)

* Please indicate the country where you are based:

- ○ AT - Austria
- ● BE - Belgium
- ○ BG - Bulgaria
- ○ HR - Croatia
- ○ CY - Cyprus
- ○ CZ - Czechia
- ○ DK - Denmark
- ○ EE - Estonia
- ○ FI - Finland
- ○ FR - France
- ○ DE - Germany
- ○ EL - Greece
- ○ HU - Hungary
- ○ IE - Ireland
- ○ IT - Italy
- ○ LV - Latvia
- ○ LT - Lithuania
- ○ LU - Luxembourg
- ○ MT - Malta
- ○ NL - Netherlands
- ○ PL - Poland
- ○ PT - Portugal
- ○ RO - Romania
- ○ SK - Slovak Republic

○ SI - Slovenia
○ ES - Spain
○ SE – Sweden
○ NO – Norway (EEA)
○ IS – Iceland (EEA)
○ LI - Liechtenstein (EEA)
○ Non-EU/EEA country (please specify)

* Have you heard about SCIP – ECHA's database for information on substances of very high concern in articles as such or in complex objects (products)?
◉ Yes
○ No

* How did you find out about SCIP?
○ ECHA Website
○ News (please specify)
○ Event (please specify)
○ Social media (please specify)
○ Recommended by someone
◉ Industry association
○ This survey
○ Other (please specify)

* Can we contact you to follow up your answers, if necessary?
◉ Yes (please provide your contact information below)
○ No

* Name:

Paolo Campanella

* Phone number:

+3227375144

* Email address

paolo.campanella@fead.be

* Please indicate a suitable slot for contacting you between the end of March and the middle of April:

11-15/04

ECHA will process any personal data received in accordance with ECHA's privacy rules. For more information, please read the privacy statement regarding the processing of personal data in the context of stakeholder surveys.

* How often do you visit the SCIP database to find information on substances of very high concern (SVHCs) in articles?

SVHCs are severely hazardous substances such as carcinogenic, mutagenic, reprotoxic, PBTs (persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic), and vPvB (very persistent and very bioaccumulative) substances, endocrine disruptors, and respiratory sensitisers

- ○ Daily
- ○ Weekly
- ◉ Monthly
- ○ Never

To what extent does the SCIP database contribute to the following?

	Fully	Moderately	Slightly	Not at all	Cannot say
* 1. Making information available to improve the safe use of articles and facilitate informed purchase choices	○	○	○	○	◉
* 2. Providing incentive to suppliers to place articles without SVHCs on the EU market	○	○	○	○	◉
* 3. Helping to reduce waste containing SVHCs	○	○	◉	○	○
* 4. Promoting substitution of SVHCs in articles by safer alternatives	○	◉	○	○	○
* 5. Making information available to waste operators to improve waste treatment operations, which otherwise would not be available to them	○	◉	○	○	○
* 6. Helping authorities to monitor the use of SVHCs in articles and where needed to initiate appropriate regulatory actions (e.g. restrictions)	○	○	○	○	◉
* 7. Helping national, regional or local authorities in the Member States to establish improved policies on the management of wastes	○	○	◉	○	○

Comment:

In the current format, the benefits of the SCIP database to waste operators are not really effective for two main reasons:
1.   difficulty in finding information
2.   medium/large waste handlers deal with a waste stream and not with individual articles
Therefore, it would be useful to focus on product categories that can be easily identified and recognised even at a more macro scale.

To what extent do you agree with the following statements about SCIP?

	Fully agree	Agree	Disagree	Fully disagree	Cannot say
* It contains information I cannot find anywhere else	◉	○	○	○	○
* It provides reliable data on articles containing SVHCs	○	○	○	○	◉
* It is a one-stop-shop for information on substances of concern in articles	○	◉	○	○	○
* It improves transparency and availability of information on SVHCs in articles	○	◉	○	○	○
* It is easily accessible and easy to use	○	○	◉	○	○
* It offers the right content in an understandable way	○	○	◉	○	○

Comment:

SCIP database could have a high potential in helping our industry in the management of particular waste streams. |It is important to say that, even though the system is easily accessible, it is not simple to use, therefore improvements in useability are necessary. In order to make the most of the SCIP database for the waste management sector, it should be improved and made more user-friendly.

* Are you aware of any awareness-raising activity about the SCIP database in your country?
- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

* How easy is it to find information about products in the SCIP database?
- ○ Very easy
- ○ Easy
- ◉ Difficult
- ○ Very difficult
- ○ Cannot say

* Have you already used the SCIP database as a source of information?
- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

* If you answered "yes", to what extent have you been able to find the data that you were looking for?
- ○ Fully
- ○ For the most part
- ◉ To a limited degree
- ○ Not at all
- ○ I don't know

Comment:

> For the articles with several substances and components the database shows only a list of substances, that are not linked to the component in which they are. Therefore, in substance list, each substance should be linked to the component where is contained.
> The searching tool should be improved and implemented including other levels and not only specific categories.
> The database should present aggregated data about the likelihood of the presence of candidate list substances at waste stream level.

*If you answered "yes", to what extent have the data you found helped you to perform your activity as a waste operator?

- ○ Fully
- ○ For the most part
- ○ To a limited degree
- ● Not at all
- ○ I don't know

Comment:

To what extent has the SCIP database:

	To a high degree	To some degree	To a low degree	Not at all	Cannot say	Too early to say
*helped in better segregating waste containing SVHCs?	○	○	●	○	○	○
*encouraged suppliers of articles to reduce the number of articles with SVHCs and therefore helped your activity as a waste operator, when those articles reach the waste stage?	○	○	○	○	●	○
*promoted substitution of SVHCs in articles by safer alternatives which helps your activity as a waste operator?	○	○	○	○	●	○

Comment:

What would be the most likely consequences if the SCIP database was discontinued?

	Fully agree	Agree	Disagree	Fully disagree	Cannot say

* a. Reduced transparency on SVHCs in articles	○	◉	○	○	○
* b. Lack of visibility on the risks of SVHCs in articles	○	◉	○	○	○
* c. Lack of a reliable source of information on SVHCs in articles	○	◉	○	○	○
* d. Impossibility for authorities to initiate appropriate regulatory actions	○	○	◉	○	○
* e. Impossibility to segregate and treat waste	○	○	◉	○	○
* f. Decreased substitution of SVHCs	○	○	◉	○	○
* g. Other	○	○	○	○	◉

Comment:

* How useful has the helpdesk been to resolve your doubts and questions?
- ○ Very useful
- ◉ Useful
- ○ Not very useful
- ○ Not useful at all
- ○ Not applicable

Comment:

More tools and guidance on the use of the database are welcome.

* What additional information, features or services would you like to see in the future in order to increase the usefulness of the SCIP for you? (Select all that apply.)
- ☑ Improved search function per substance
- ☑ Improved search function per article
- ☐ Faster reply to my questions
- ☑ More guidance (please specify below)
- ☑ Other (please specify below)
- ☐ Cannot say

* If "more guidance", please specify which additional information/clarifications it should provide:

Especially on the usability of the database.

* If "other", please specify:

The database should present aggregated data about the likelihood of the presence of candidate list substances at waste stream level.

Comment:

[   ]

* How likely are you to recommend SCIP to find information on articles containing SVHCs?

- ◉ Very likely, and have done so already
- ○ Likely, though I have not done so
- ○ Somewhat unlikely
- ○ Unlikely (please explain why)

* In your area of work, are relevant users aware of SCIP?

- ○ To a high extent
- ○ To some extent
- ◉ To a low extent
- ○ Not at all

Comments or recommendations:

[   ]

Page 3

You have reached the end of the survey, please click "submit". Thank you for your contribution.

Contact

[Contact Form](#)