4/24/2018 EUSurvey - Survey

(/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner)

All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)

Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner)

## Public Consultation on the Evaluation of the Waste Shipment Regulation

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

Views

Standa

Langu [EN] Er

Conta

WSR@

Downlo

Save

### Public Consultation on the Evaluation of the Waste Shipment Regulation

### INTRODUCTION

#### Background

Article 60(2a) of Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006 on shipments of waste[1] (Waste Shipment Regulation - WSR) calls on the Commission to carry out a review of this Regulation by 31/12/2020. In accordance with the Better Regulation Guidelines, an evaluation is the first step in this process.

The Commission is currently carrying out an evaluation to assess whether the WSR, including Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007[2], meets its objectives

The Commission is currently carrying out an evaluation to assess whether the WSR, including Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007[2], meets its objectives using the criteria of: (i) effectiveness, (ii) efficiency, (iii) coherence, (iv) relevance and (v) EU added value. In assessing coherence, the evaluation will take into consideration EU waste legislation, the general objectives of EU environmental policy incl. circular economy, as well as other EU policies such as industrial/raw materials and trade policies, the internal market, etc. The evaluation will include an investigation of costs and benefits associated with the implementation of the WSR for stakeholders at local, national and EU level. The results of this evaluation will be used to assess the performance of the WSR so far.

Further information in relation to the evaluation can be found in the published evaluation roadmap[3].

- [1] Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2006 on shipments of waste. (OJ L 190, 12.7.2006, p. 1)
- [2] Commission Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007 of 29 November 2007 concerning the export for recovery of certain waste listed in Annex III or IIIA to Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council to certain countries to which the OECD Decision on the control of transboundary movements of wastes does not apply. (OJ L 316, 4.12.2007, p. 6)
- [3] http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/roadmaps/docs/2017\_env\_026\_waste\_shipment\_evaluation\_env.pdf

#### About the consultation

The process of evaluation follows the EC guidance for evaluations and considers the relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency and EU added value of the Regulation's provisions and the legislation implementing it.

The consultation will be held between 30 January 2018 and 27 April 2018.

Please make sure to submit your response during this period.

If you would like to address aspects in more detail or to provide information or data which may be of relevance to the evaluation, such information can also be submitted via email until 27 April 2018 at the latest to <u>WSRevaluation@trinomics.eu</u>.

### **QUESTIONNAIRE**

### About you

1. Contributions will be published on the Commission's website, either with or without the personal information of the contributor. Please state your preference with regard to the publication of your personal information. Please note that regardless of the option chosen, your contribution may be subject to a request for access to documents under Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 on public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents. In this event the request will be assessed against the conditions set out in the Regulation and in accordance with applicable data protection rules.

#### \*Your contribution:

- can be published with your personal information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution and I declare that none of it is under copyright restrictions that prevent publication)
- can be published in an anonymous way (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution except my name/the name of my
  organisation and I declare that none of it is under copyright restrictions that prevent publication)

Respondents should not include personal data in documents submitted in the context of consultation if they opt for anonymous publication.

Please note: regardless of the option chosen, your contribution may be subject to a request for access to documents under Regulation 1049/2001 on public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents. In such cases, the request will be assessed against the conditions set out in the Regulation and in accordance with applicable data protection rules.

#### \*2. Are you replying as/on behalf of:

- A citizen
- A company
- A European business organisation

A national business organisation ome/welcome/runner)	All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)
A trade union	Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner)
A non-governmental organisation	20g (0000.10),0000g01,
A regional/local public authority	
<ul> <li>A regional/local public authority</li> <li>An academic institution/research centre</li> </ul>	
A professional consultancy/law firm	
Other	
*3. Please provide your name if replying as an individual, otherwise (FEAD - European Federation of Waste Management and Environmental Se	
. 2 D 200pour outside of radio management and 2 mile management	
*Please provide an e-mail address:	
@ margot.auvray@fead.be	
3.1 If your organisation is registered in the Transparency Register, p 2157643512-49	olease provide your Register ID number:
2157043512-49	
3.2 If your organisation is not registered, you have the opportunity to	o register now
(http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do).	
	elf-employed individuals engaged in activities aimed at influencing the EU
	evant information about themselves, by registering in Transparency Register
and subscribing to its Code of Conduct.  Please note: If the organisation is not registered, the submission will be put	ublished separately from the registered organisations. During the analysis of
	to register will be treated as individual contributions (unless the contributors
are recognised as representative stakeholders through Treaty provisions	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*4. Your country	
<ul><li>Austria</li></ul>	
Belgium	
Bulgaria	
○ Croatia ○ Cyprus	
Czech Republic	
<ul><li>Denmark</li></ul>	
<ul><li>Estonia</li></ul>	
<ul><li>Finland</li></ul>	
France	
○ Germany	
Greece Hungary	
○ Ireland	
Italy	
Latvia	
<ul><li>Lithuania</li></ul>	
<ul><li>Luxembourg</li></ul>	
Malta	
Netherlands  Reland	
Poland     Portugal	
Romania	
Slovak Republic	
Slovenia	
Spain	
Sweden	
<ul><li>United Kingdom</li><li>Other</li></ul>	
⊎ Ouici	
Other country Inleads and if it	
Other country [please specify]:  European Federation based in Brussels	
Evaluation of the Waste Shipment Regulation (W	(SR)
Evaluation of the waste onlyment negulation (w	Ois,

5. To what extent has the WSR been effective in achieving the following objectives?

(/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner	) Ve <b>kly</b> pull effective	oli <b>Ssumæyls</b> a(fet effective	usu <b>lkæit//leorefféptible</b> csu nor ineffective Login (/	, ,	Very ineffective gin/runner)	Don't know
*Protecting the environment		•	0	0	0	0
*Respecting the principle of proximity and priority for recovery and self-sufficiency at EU and national levels	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Keeping waste shipment systems and procedures adapted to technical progress	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Achieving consistent waste shipment rules across the EU	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Complying with international obligations such as the Basel Convention and OECD Decision C(2001)107/final	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Preventing and detecting illegal shipments of waste	0	0	0	•	0	0

technical progress						
*Achieving consistent waste shipment rules across the EU	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Complying with international obligations such as the Basel Convention and OECD Decision C(2001)107/final		•		0	0	0
*Preventing and detecting illegal shipments of waste	0	0	0	•	0	0
5. Is the WSR still relevant?  Yes  No I don't know						
6.1 [if no] Should the objectives be adjusted? please specify:						
500 character(s) maximum  The WSR is certainly relevant for the shipment of hazardous waste but shipment of non-hazardous waste green listed waste from industrial ori conform art. 18 using the Annex VII-document)						
7. Are you aware of any problems/issues related to waste shipn //SR does not adequately address?  Yes  No I don't know  3. Is the application of the WSR consistent across all EU Memb		ding their im	pact on the environi	ment and hum	an health tha	at the
○ Yes  ● No						
I don't know						
B.1 [If no] Please specify 500 character(s) maximum  Lack of consistency on: Use of electronic forms and communication tools, consent for transit co (EU or Basel Convention), what is the "last day of notification" (day of of recognition of pre-consented facilities, seat of establishment of the pers classification of hazardous waste, evaluation of impurities in green-liste means of transport being treated differently.	leparture or o son who arrar	f arrival), mutu iges the shipm	al ent,			
Are there any specific requirements in the WSR or Regulation organisation? Please consider both monetary and non-monetary.		118/2007 that	have led to signific	ant costs for y	ou or your	
Waste Shipment Regulation (WSR)   Yes						

- No
- I don't know
- $9.1. \ [\hbox{If yes}] \ \hbox{Please provide examples of requirements in the WSR that have led to significant costs:}$ 500 character(s) maximum

0.10	-bodivey duivey
High administrative burdens (time consuming translation costs, bank guarante lipsof shipments, flexibility of shipments reduced due to administrative requirements, even w health and environment of the procedure is not proven), Different interpretation of the WSR, Delays for the notification procedure (between 2 and 10 months) during which operato Few companies in the EU still accepting to take hazardous waste (increase of prices),	Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner)

- \*Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007
  - Yes
  - No
  - I don't know

### 10. What is the impact of the WSR on the following areas:

#### Waste Shipment Regulation

						ste Shipinent Regulation
Don't know	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	
	0	•	0		0	*Human health
0	0	•	0	0	0	*Emissions to the environment
0	0	•	0	0	0	* Climate change
0	0	0	•	0	0	*Clean-up costs
0	0	0	•	0	0	*Prevalence of illegally shipped waste
0	0	•	0	0	0	*Material recovery
0	0	•	0	0	0	*Energy recovery
0	0	0	•	0	0	*Creation of jobs
0	0	0	•	0	0	*Level-playing field for operators
	0		•	0	0	,

## Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007

	Very negative	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Very positive	Don't know
*Human health	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Emissions to the environment	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Climate change	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Clean-up costs	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Prevalence of illegally shipped waste	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Material recovery	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Energy recovery	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Creation of jobs	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Level-playing field for operators	0	0	•	0	0	0

## 11. To what extent do you agree with the following statements on the costs and benefits of the WSR and Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007?

## Waste Shipment Regulation

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
* It has reduced costs for the sector (e.g. through harmonisation of rules)	0	0	0	•	0	0
*The costs involved in implementing the Regulation are justified given the benefits	0	0	•	0	0	0

## Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
* It has provided greater legal certainty for exporters of 'green'-listed waste	0	0	•	0	0	

*The costs involved indicate the costs involved indicate that have already been achieved	ublic surveys	(/eusurve	//home/publicsurvey Login (/eusu		in/runner)	0
*The costs involved in implementing the Regulation are justified given the benefits that will be achieved in the longer term	0	0	•	0		0

## 12. To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
*The WSR is an effective tool to the combating of illicit trafficking of waste across borders through increased transparency of waste shipments	0	0	0	•	0	0
*The WSR is well adapted to technical and scientific progress	0	0	0	•	0	0
*The WSR is well adapted to new political priorities	0	0	0	•	0	0
*The WSR is well adapted to EU and global market developments	0	0	0	•	0	0
*The WSR is relevant in the context of the EU's international obligations resulting from <i>inter alia</i> the Basel Convention and OECD Decision C(2001)107/final	0	•	0	0	0	0
*The WSR supports the EU internal market and the creation of a level playing field for economic operators, including SMEs	0	0	•	0	0	0
*The WSR promotes industrial innovation	0	0	0	•	0	0
*The WSR provides additional employment opportunities	0	0	•	0	0	0
*The WSR contributes to the circular economy	0	0	0	•	0	0
*The WSR helps mitigate climate change	0	•	0	0	0	0
*The effectiveness of the WSR is enhanced by some Member States taking stricter measures	0	0	0	•	0	0
*There is a need for more common and harmonised rules under the WSR across Member States	•	0	0	0	0	0
*Definitions and classifications included in the WSR are clear and non-ambiguous	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Inspection plans are effective means to achieve the objectives	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Regulation 1418/2007 is effective in regulating the export of 'green'-listed wastes for recovery to non-OECD countries	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Regulation 1418/2007 is well adapted to technical and scientific progress	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Regulation 1418/2007 is well adapted to new political priorities	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Regulation 1418/2007 is well adapted to EU and global market developments	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Regulation 1418/2007 contributes to the circular economy	0	0	•	0	0	0

*13. Are there any provisions of the WSR and/or Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007	7 that could be simplified without compromising the objectives
of the WSR?	

(0)	Yes

O No

I don't know

## 13.1 [If yes] Please specify [max. 500 char]

500 character(s) maximum

Two green-listed waste that are mixed should still be considered as green-listed in many cases and not be subjected to notification.

Article 18 procedure for shipments destined for laboratory analysis: it should be up to 1000 kg instead of 25 kg.

EC to provide a list of pre-consented facilities

Increase use of electronic forms

Tacit consent for all transits within the EU

Definition of a close list of documents that can be required by the CAs

Electronic systems

Acknowledgment of receipt

14. Please indicate if there are gaps, overlaps, inconsistencies or discrepancies in the provisions of the Waste Shipment Regulation and/or between the Regulation, other EU or national legislation or policy and/or international law.

	Gaps	Overlaps	Inconsistencies/ discrepancies	No gaps, no overlaps, no inconsistencies/discrepancies	Don't know
*Within the provisions of the WSR			•		
*Between the provisions of the WSR and Regulation 1418/2007				€	

\*Between the WSB Regulation 1416/2007 Welcome / runner)

and other legislation (national or international)

Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner)

# 14.1 Where you have indicated specific gaps, overlaps and/or inconsistencies, please indicate the specific piece(s) of legislation and explain their impact

1000 character(s) maximum

Within the provisions of the WSR:

Different interpretations of existing terms in the WSR

Impurity threshold for green-listed waste

Implementation of Article 28 WSR

Different procedures for pre-consented facilities among the member states

Between the WSR and other legislation:

Waste classification

Different end of waste criteria in the member states

Waste codes

No equal access to data

# 15. Without the Waste Shipment Regulation (and Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007), i.e. if measures had been taken at national level only, what would it be like in your country(ies) in terms of:

	Much better	Better	The same	Worse	Much worse	Don't know
*Protecting the environment	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Respecting the principle of proximity and priority for recovery and self-sufficiency at EU and national levels	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Keeping waste shipment systems and procedures adapted to technical progress, scientific progress, new political priorities and EU and global market developments	0	0	0	•	0	0
*Enforcing take-back obligations for illegal shipments of waste (at least within the EU)		0	0	•	0	0
*Facilitating an EU market for secondary raw materials	0	0	0	•	0	0

# 16. If Regulation (EC) No 1418/2007 did not exist, please specify what impacts there would be in the EU and in third countries (non-OECD members).

500 character(s) maximum

- Increase of illegal shipments
- Increase of difficulties to organize legal shipments and return of shipments refused at arrival
- Risks for where the Regulation is not application

# 17. Do you have any other views which have not been covered in the consultation? Please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

Better harmonization for: waste classification, what is considered as green-listed waste, recovery and disposal definitions, information and communication tools requirements

Foster efficient communication prior to the shipments.

Problems of implementation: notification procedure (regular delays and unexpected documents required), system of pre-consented facilities to be broadened, clear distinction between administrative shortcomings and illegal shipments, lack of human resources

Submit

EUSurvey is supported by the European Commission's ISA FAQ (/eusurvey/home/helpparticipants) | Support (/eusurvey/home/support/runner) programme (http://ec.europa.eu/isa), which promotes interoperability solutions for European public administrations.